By Sean Swain

ABOUT SEAN SWAIN

Sean Swain is a former journalist and volunteer union organizer, held captive by an illegal rogue-state calling itself Ohio, for a crime he can objectively prove he did not commit. He still awaits the fair trial ordered by the court of appeals in 1993. In 2011, the Ohio Adult Parole Authority held a full-board hearing in secret and contrary to law, continuing Sean's captivity for 5 more years on provably false reasons. Sean co-wrote Last Act of the Circus Animals, which pre-figured the Occupy Movement. He asserts political prisoner status, as he remains captive because of his published criticism of the illegal rogue-state that has kidnaped him.

His work and other features will soon be available

on-line at seanswain.org.

For Katy O'Leary.

with the two control of the con-

The state of the state of the

Control of the American Control of the Control and the second of the second o The state of the s

> The state of the state of the state of

> > the state of the s

in the control of the

ng the control of the first of the control of the c

Contraction of the state of the contraction of the problem

to the transfer of the first test of the

and the control of th

文文的 (1912年) 1914年 (1914年)

disrupting Ohio's economy could potentially get me sent to super-max, just imagine where they're going to try to send me now.

I might end up on the next rocket ship to be shot

out into space.

I hope there's intelligent life out there.

GOVERNMENT-LESS

* * *

What would government-less life look like? Whatever we made of it.

Without someone else in charge, we would be in charge. I don't think we can do a worse job than the

people who are running everything now.

No one can know our needs better than we do, so no one can do a better job meeting our needs than we can. Strangers who don't know us can't make us happier than we can make ourselves, especially when they're only concerned with the needs of their golf partners.

So what would life be like if we got them out of our way and we could meet our own needs and run our own lives? I'm confident that life would be very nice.

We're reasonable people.

Of course, people in power will tell you the sky will fall if we get rid of them. We need them. Without them, there will be chaos and violence and suffering.

They have a lie for everything.

As for the chaos and violence and suffering, what the bell do you call this?

They say the world will be lawless.

But isn't "lawlessness" where you ignore the law and act like it doesn't exist? Like if you ignore the Northwest Territory Ordinance and the Treaty of Greeneville and property rights and enunciated rights in constitutions and all of the foundational theories of your own legal system?

If you ignore all that, I'd say that's pretty

fuckin' lawless.

How much more lawless can you get?

* * *

NO-FLY LIST THRESHOLD REVISITED OF CALL AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO

We've already gone well beyond the No-Fly List
Threshold, so let me ask you: What are we gonna DO
about this?

ALL 7 BILLION OF US

and the comment of the first of the contract o

You probably already see what I'm doing. I suspect the cat is out of the bag. I might as well admit it, huh?

I made a contract argument about the "State of Ohio," but my argument isn't really just confined to the "State of Ohio" because none of us signed the Declaration of Independence or the U.S. Constitution either. None of us accepted subject or slave status to this incorporated entity called "The United States of America." It's not our government either.

This applies to every government that we ourselves have not formed and fashioned and incorporated for ourselves. Every living being on the planet who is subject to a supposed authority that has assumed the power to rule is enslaved to a lawless tyrant, an usurper, an imposter, a false god, an illegitimate exercise of authority.

Ponder that for a moment.

Imagine if we all got together to DO something about this...

All 7 billion of us. And on a read of the read of a secretary and a secretary and a secretary and a secretary as a secretary a

The state of the s

The Countries of the last the transport desired by . W 1997

The Ohio Department of Retribution and Corruption tried to send me to super-max because I wrote a book and mentioned that if Ohio prisoners laid on their bunks for 30 days, Ohio's economy would collapse. But just now I admittedly proposed outright that we need to topple every single nation-state on the planet in the name of freedom. If a minor reference to prisoners

PART II: THE CONTRACT

"I am ashamed to think how easily we capitulate to badges and names, to large societies and dead institutions."

-- Ralph Waldo Emerson

"The State is force."

--Mikhail Alexandrovitch Bakumin

"The government of man by man is slavery."
--Pierre-Joseph Proudhon

says that no authority exists accept by the will of God. Therefore, since God has put his stamp of approval on "The State of Ohio," we must obey it.

I don't know about that. I'm skeptical. I don't think the all-knowing, all-seeing, ever-present author of the Ten Commandments (you know, "Thou shall not kill," "Thou shall not steal," and so on) sided with the killers and thieves who took this area. That's quite a disturbing proposition, I think, that the Creator of the universe is secretly in league with sociopaths, murderers, and rapists to reduce all of us to slavery for the benefit of the evil few. If that's God's agenda, then what work is left for the devil to perform?

If God is on the side of genocidal sociopaths and liars, then I don't know that I feel safe with him in charge. This guy is a real asshole.

in a literatura di disersi distrib<mark>cod</mark> sun general di di Agressi (1917). In 25 di 50 diserso di elegan di 15 disersi di Esperimento di Santa agresi.

I doubt that if there is a Creator of the universe, that he's really that callous. I doubt he supports all the things he claims to oppose. I don't really think he's an asshole.

I suspect he doesn't like the "State of Ohio" any better than I do.

If all reason tells us there is no valid argument to justify this "State of Ohlo" and its claim to authority, we can't appeal to the imagined opinions of Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny, the Tooth Faerie, or even God. We cannot resort to magical moon-beams and faerie dust, to bunny rabbits and rainbows.

Either this "State of Ohio" has valid authority according to law or it doesn't. Either this Ohio Constitution is a binding contract on us and on the "State of Ohio," or it isn't.

I say it isn't-- the perceived opinions of Kris Kringle, Buddha, and Jesus of Nazareth notwithstanding, thank you.

1132

to wait the rest of my life for the fair trial that was ordered by the higher court.

If I had a choice between Sean Swain Rights and Andrew Crouch Rights, I'd prefer the Andrew Crouch Rights.

All men are created equal.

Some men are created more equal than others.

No matter the circumstances, never kill anybody more equal than you.

contracts, PART VIII

Thomas Worthington doesn't have the authority to draft a contract that makes me obligated to fix your roof, but he can draft one that makes me obligated to obey the dictates of a dead corporation for the rest of my life?

RIGHTS, PART VIII

So, again, what the fuck are "rights"? What good is this contract none of us have ever signed? What duty does any of us really owe Thomas Worthington's dead corporation?

CONTRACTS, PART IN 150 Depois to 9296

If you accept this Euroamerican theory of law, then a contract is a contract; a deal is a deal. The Ohio Constitution is a contract that you and I have never signed and it cannot be enforced against us. Theories related to "implied consent" simply don't wash-paying taxes, voting, using state programs, and living in claimed jurisdiction do not imply our consent to be enslaved by a corporation we've never agreed to obey. So by what authority can the "State of Ohio" claim to be our master?

God.

That's what one guy told me. He said God is the ultimate authority and in one of the books of Paul, it

Where does this "State of Ohio" come from?

I don't mean the area we call Ohio. That's land. It's been here. I know generally how that got here. And I don't mean the people who inhabit Ohio. I know how they got here. They got here the same way I did.

I'm talking about "The State of Ohio." How did it get here? It wasn't born and it didn't hatch, but it came into existence in 1803.

Poof.

So where did it come from and what is it?

The "State of Ohio" exists as a "creature of law," an "artificial being, invisible, intangible" (1). It doesn't have a body the way you and I do. It is made up of law makers and judges and clerks and secretaries and prison guards, an "association of persons," who are "acting as a single person, and by their corporate name" (2). This corporation, "The State of Ohio," as an artificial being, a creature of law, is known "by the powers and faculties bestowed upon it, expressedly or impliedly, by the charter" (3). This corporate entity "possesses only those properties which the charter of its creation confers upon it" (4).

The charter for this "State of Ohio" is the Ohio Constitution, originally drafted by the Worthington Gang in 1802. They created this corporation called "The State of Ohio," writing up its charter, describing its powers and properties.

That all seems legal enough, doesn't it?

- (1) Trustees of Dartmouth College v. Woodward 11319), 17 US 518, 636, 4 Wheat. 518.
- (2) <u>U.S. v. Trinidad Coal and Coking Co.</u> (1890), 137 US 160, 169, 11 S.Ct. 57.
- (3) Andrews Brothers Co. v. Youngstown Coke Co. (Sixth Circuit, 1898), 86 F. 585, 587.
- (4) Trustees of Dartmouth College, Id.

* * *

Because this "State of Ohio" is not a human being running around like you and I, and it only exists in a <u>legal</u> sense, it is a corporation. An incorporated entity.

It only exists on paper. You can't walk up to an office and say, "I would like to speak to 'The State of Ohio,'" as if "The State of Ohio" is like the Wizard of Oz, some strange guy who grants wishes.

Corporations are entities that are created by a charter. A document. A piece of paper that says what this corporation will be called and what it can do and how it will operate. So, without a charter, there's no corporation.

Without the Ohio Constitution, there would be no "State of Ohio."

.

CONTRACTS, PART I

All law is contract law.

A contract is a contract.

A deal is a deal.

If I agree to fix your roof, and you agree to pay me \$500, we have a deal. We have a contract. I can't just take your money and run. I have a legal obligation to fix your roof.

A contract is a contract.

A deal is a deal.

A contract is really nothing more than making a record of a relationship. In the case of our contract, the relationship is one where I exchange a service for payment. Our contract is a record of the terms of how we are to relate to each other.

In 1802, when the Worthington Gang drew up the Ohio Constitution as a charter for this "State of Ohio," that charter qualified as a contract. It was the record of a relationship between this "State of Ohio," a corporation the Worthington Gang was creating, and the people of Ohio who would be the subjects of "The State of Ohio."

RIGHTS, PART VII

function of a figure of the second of the form

The state of the s

All men are created equal.

Some men are created more equal than others.

a balai sunayara sant masaka sa isti sa sa isti ili

You and I can't form the Republic of Bob's Lanes without getting arrested but Thomas Worthington can hijack an entire state and enslave anyone who ever steps foot in it. In terms of equality, that doesn't feel "equal." It feels like Thomas Worthington is granted some special dispensation you and I have not been given.

I know this much: if given a choice between Sean Swain Rights and Thomas Worthington Rights, I'd taken the Thomas Worthington Rights.

All men are created equal.

Some men are created more equal than others.

The guy who broke into my home, Andrew Crouch, was the nephew of the Clerk of Courts. Being the nephew of the Clerk of Courts, he had the right to rough-up his girlfriend. He had the right to beat her up when she was pregnant. He had the right to kick her down the stairs. He had the right to light her hair on fire. He had the right to beat up cops who responded until they stunned him several times with a stun gum. He had the right to spend not one day in prison.

Here at Mansfield Correctional, I've met a lot of people who did a lot less than Andrew Crouch, but mone

of them are nephews of the Clerk of Courts.

Even after death, Crouch had more rights than I do. He had the right to have police conceal evidence of his criminal behavior. He had the right to have witnesses lie to make him look like something he was not. He had the right to have the prosecutor expend the vast resources of the state to present an impossible scenario that would make me out to be the bad guy and would vindicate him as a victim. He had the right to have my jury stacked with management from the company I was unionizing.

Me? I had the right to die or to go to prison. I had the right to have my rights ignored. I had the right

nineteenth century. Women became people in the twentieth century.

mentieth century. The "State of Ohio" became a person before either of them. And it's not a person. the of avoid an expensi

THE RIGHT TO CREATE A COD TO STORY OF THE RIGHT TO CREATE A COD TO STO Just imagine being as powerful as Thomas Worthington and his pals. It might be hard, since we're slaves to a dead corporation, but imagine having the right to put words on a piece of paper and create an allpowerful god. Imagine having the magical powers to give this all-powerful god authority that you yourself don't possess.

Wouldn't it be great to have the powers of Thomas Worthington?

As a mere mortal, you would be powerless to give orders to 11 million people and threaten to drag them away if they refused to obey you, and take their property from them at will. As a mere mortal, you can't extort 11 million people out of a percentage of their income.

But if you're Thomas Worthington, you can put a few words on paper and, like magic, you can create a god called "The State of Ohio," and this god will order around millions and threaten to drag them away, and extort them for a percentage of their income.

If only you and I had the super powers that Thomas Worthington had... Indoeped we'd struct to sur aven goy

We wouldn't be slaves.

subjects and states

Is there really any difference between being a "subject" and being a "slave"? In both cases, someone else has power over you. You are compelled to go along with a program that isn't yours. Your rights are decided by someone else.

When it boils down to it, a "subject" and a "slave" are the same thing; a "government" is a "master" by a

This contract, The Ohio Constitution, described what powers and duties this "State of Ohio" had toward its subjects, and it described the rights and duties of the subjects toward "The State of Ohio," just like our contract described what I would do for you (fix your roof) and what you would do for me (pay me \$500).

All contracts do that. A contract is a contract. A deal is a deal.

CONTRACTS, PART II

I can sign a contract saying I'll fix your roof for \$500. I have the authority to do that. But I can't sign a contract saying Joe Snowbucket will fix your roof if you give me \$500. I don't have the power to do that. Joe Snowbucket might not appreciate me sticking him with obligations he never agreed to fulfill. Likewise, I can't write up a contract to sell Joe Snowbucket's house to you. If Joe comes home to find you in his living room, eating his pizza, he's going to call the police to have you escorted out of his home.

It's a well-established legal principle that I can only make promises for me, not for others. If you and I have a contract, it's between you and me. I can't make promises that someone else has to keep.

So, I've got a question for you. When the Worthington Gang drew up the Ohio Constitution as a charter for the "State of Ohio" and made a contract between this "State of Ohio" and its subjects, describing the powers and duties and rights of both parties, did you sign it?

Neither did I.

* * *

DEMOCRACY, PART I

We equate "democracy" with "freedom." The idea is, in democracy, we all have a say. We have a vote. We have power over what happens. That's what makes us

free, unlike people who have no say, no vote, no power over what happens.

I know I want to be free. I want a voice. I want power over what happens to me, and I suspect most

people do.

But the fact is, we don't really live in a democracy. In a democracy, everybody votes on everything. Funding for a road halfway across the country? Let's all vote on it. Building a new aircraft carrier? Let's all vote on it. New clean air regulations? Let's all vote.

We'd do nothing all day except sit around and vote. There are millions of decisions made every single day in a country this big, and we'd have to vote on all of them. Nobody would ever get any work done. We'd be too

busy voting.

We actually live in what's called a "representative republic." We vote to elect a few people who will make those millions of decisions so the rest of us can get some work done. The idea is that the ones we elect will act on our behalf.

They rarely do that.

Once they get elected, the few who make millions of decisions for us usually exercise the power we gave them in order to benefit themselves and their golf partners. They use the power we gave them to make others rich. Those rich people then get them reelected.

If you play golf with people who make the decisions, then this system is probably great for you. You see this as freedom. If you don't play golf with the right people, this really sucks.

The only reason you think you're free is because the

people with power over you said so.

WITHOUT CONSENT

A quick summary here, just so we're clear: In 1803 the Worthington Gang created a corporation called "The State of Ohio." They made a contract, The Ohio Constitution, that described the duties and powers of this "State of Ohio." and the people who would be its

Tracey and the "State of Ohio" are a lot alike. Both of them are sociopaths who hurt people. Both of them think that making promises is the same as keeping promises.

Tracey promises to get legal help and get books published and get a tattoo next to her vagina, and her promises are empty words. The "State of Ohio" promises to respect our rights to defend our lives and our rights to free speech and our rights to protection from cruel and unusual punishment, but these promises are empty words too.

When Tracey and the "State of Ohio" lie, you just can't tell. Good liars tell good lies.

You can't protect yourself from a liar.

RIGHTS, PART VI

The carrier may be the first that the transfer of the

You have the right to oppose me and my Apache attack helicopter.

You have the right to say what I want to hear.

You have the right to hear me declare you are guilty before I punish you.

You have the right to appeal to me whenever you

don't like what I do.

You have the right to be protected from any punishment I decide to be "cruel" or "unusual," although nothing I would ever do to you would ever be "cruel" or "unusual" because I'm such a wonderful son of a bitch.

You have the right to be protected by the laws I

write until I suspend them or write new ones.

Do you understand your rights as they have been dictated to you, or do I need to get behind the controls of my Apache attack helicopter and read them to you again?

I thought so.

Welcome to Ohio. The Heart of It All. STRONG GENERAL STREET STREET STREET

PERSONNOOD SERVICE OF In Ohio. Blacks officially became people in the

ភាសេន៍ស Ger last metal ស្រាច់ក្នុង 🚁 🖟 📈 🔾 សេខ និកកា 🦮 សេ 🧀 🖼 🖦 🕏

die e regin wiele field ramme. Your tijere am

What my grandmother meant was, a thief sneaks into your home and steals mere possessions and you can stop that by simply locking your doors. A liar, however, steals more than mere possessions, and sneaks into more than your house.

It wouldn't be so bad if liars had warning buzzers that went off when they lied; then you could tell the lies from the truth. But they don't have warning buzzers. And what makes a good liar successful is the ability to tell lies that sound like the truth.

Good liars tell good lies.

Péople believe them: de la language de la Million de

This "State of Ohio" is a really good liar. It tells

good lies. Millions of people believe them.

This dead corporation says it has legitimate authority over us even though we never signed the contract: Swittenant them will at he we that distanted

It says we have rights and we're free when we're not, when this dead corporation reserves the right to define what our rights are.

It says we need the protection and benefits of this dead corporation and we'd never get by without it.

You can't protect yourself from a liar.

Especially when he's got Apache attack helicopters. 1.100克普里斯內特的發展的每

y men and the second to the second se

that are a long to the motion of the first is a first to said the element of the YOU CAN'T PROTECT YOURSELF FROM A LIAR, PART II

Let me tell you about Tracey. She started visiting me in 2006. She was smart, funny, and beautiful. She made a lot of promises. Tracey promised to get an attorney to represent me at the law firm where she was employed. She promised to work as my agent and get some book-length manuscripts published. She promised to serve as the Executive Director of the Sean Swain Defense Committee, which would greatly benefit her when applying to law schools, as it would show her leadership and activism. She promised to have my name tattooed someplace very close to her genitalia. Tracey made a lot of promises.

She made me feel important to her.

Tracey didn't keep her promises. She proved how unimportant I really was.

subjects.

They didn't consult you.

They didn't ask your permission.

You weren't even born yet.

So, more than a century before you were born, the Worthington Gang effectively sold your freedom to their corporation, "The State of Ohio," making you its slave, whether you liked it or not. They made this "State of Ohio" a ruler over you, and made you the ruled.

They did this by writing up a contract that you

never signed.

I think I'm going to draw up a contract that says you'll pay for my pizza. As I see it, if you'll let Thomas Worthington and his gang enslave you to a corporation without your consent, you're probably the kind of sucker who will pay for my pizza.

No offense.

DEMOCRACY. PART II

The majority rules.

That's the idea behind "democracy." So, by this way of thinking, if the majority wants to have this corporation called "The State of Ohio" as their government, then that's just how it is. If the majority wants it, we all get it. Nothing you can do.

But my question is, how do we know the majority want this "State of Ohio"? In order to know that, we'd have to have a vote. We'd have to make sure everybody knew exactly what it was we were voting on, what it means to be a subject to this "State of Ohio," and exactly how this thing will really operate. Then once everybody voted, we'd have to count all the votes, no matter the outcome.

If the majority wanted this "State of Ohio" as their government, conducting itself as it does, then that's how it goes. If the majority wants it, we all get it.

Fair is fair.

Majority rules.

* * *

CONTRACTS, PART III

When the Worthington Gang drafted their charter, their contract, to incorporate this "State of Ohio," they didn't try to impose it on people in Pennsylvania or Indiana. Everybody knows you can't do that.

People in other states have the right to form their own governments, or to form no government at all.

That's up to them.

You can't impose a government on other people any more than you can make Joe Snowbucket fix somebody's

roof. It's a legal principle.

But if the Worthington Gang couldn't impose their corporation, their government, on people in Pennsylvania who didn't want it, why could they impose it on other people in Ohio who didn't want it? Shouldn't people in Ohio have the same right to reject this "State of Ohio" as people in Pennsylvania or Indiana?

And how is it that the Worthington Gang couldn't impose their corporation onto people in Pennsylvania in 1803, but they can impose their corporation onto people in Ohio who wouldn't even be born for more than a century in the future?

Think about it like this: I can draw up a corporation on paper right now and make it so your great grandchildren must obey my corporation, whether

they want it or not. Does that sound right?

* * *

DEMOCRACY, PART III

The majority rules.

That's the idea behind "democracy." If the majority wants it, we all get it. There's nothing you can do.

So if the majority want the systematic elimination of Jews and political undesirables, we all get it. There's nothing we can do.

Majority rules. Fair is fair.

t t t

I know. Thave the right to free speech, sure. I have the right to abolish the government, for Christ's sake. I know. Reasonably, nobody could get bent out of shape because I said the "State of Chio" has no right to exist.

But that's sort of my point: We're not dealing with reasonable people. We're dealing with the "State of Ohio," and it never met a peace-loving, honest human being it didn't want to rob, rape, and kill.

Read Part I again if you doubt me.

Ask the Indians.

Ask the Indians.
In 2007, in an interview with Anthony Rayson, I tangentially mentioned that if Ohio prisoners laid on their bunks for 30 days, the entire economy of Ohio would collapse. I used that as an example to show the power relationship between government and subject, to demonstrate that even in the most repressive setting, the ruled actually hold power over the ruler.

They tried to send me to super-max.

They subjected me to conditions that the U.S. government admits in its internal documents to be "the simple torture situation."

So much for the freedom of speech that the "State of Ohio" contractually promised in Article I, Section 11 of the Ohio Constitution.

So much for the protection from cruel and unusual punishment the "State of Chio" contractually promised in Article I, Section 9 of the Ohio Constitution.

So much for "rights."

That's the "reality on the ground."

So, in the absence of rights, what you have is a dead corporation armed with Apache attack helicopters, so by the time you read this, there's just no telling what they've done with me.

THE YOU CAN'T PROTECT YOURSELF FROM A LIARS PART I

on the second control of the control of the control of the second of the control of the control

My grandmother always said, "You can protect yourself from a thief; you can't protect yourself from a liar." In Ohio, you can't protect yourself from either one or they'll lock you away for decades, but that's really beside the point.

over it? I mean, if the "State of Ohio" can claim authority over a geographic area, I can do it too, right? So perhaps when I crossed the border from Michigan, I didn't enter this area called Ohio as a subject, but as an invader and conqueror. Perhaps this "State of Ohio," by existing in an area where I claim authority, consents to be ruled by me.

I know this sounds ridiculous; but my point is still valid: why do we assume that people consent to be the slaves of dead corporations rather than intending to be their masters? had the bangit come ow depid?

For this argument of implied consent to work, we have to assume that this "State of Ohio" has some right to declare itself an authority over go jurisdictional space. I don't know where that right would originate. We also have to assume that our rights, which are our property and preceded all governments, must yield to the claimed rights of this deadscorporation. of two ment of the first two men

This "State of Ohio" has no legitimate authority. It has no right to impose itself on anyone? My rights and your rights do not yield to it? This "State of Ohio" has no right to exist. The read way, value 1904 in this equation to the same

A DO TROPIC CONTROL (1981) () Min () Min () OD () Min () OD () Min () OD ()

all followers instancion or buildown him friesto for est

in the Propagal and Jacin Air

THE NO-FLY LIST THRESHOLD

Just a quick note. I want to be clear?

You've reached the point in this work that I refer to as, "The No-Fly List Threshold." Up to now, everything I wrote only annoyed the government. They didn't like being ridiculed and they grumbled and sneered, and they thought that maybe if the opportunity presented itself, it might be fun to rough me up a bit. But nothing I said was really very alarming. Not very original either. They didn't find itidangerous. This and median the velocity of the stand sold so

Not until the very last sentence of the preceding segment. With that last sentence, bells and whistles went off. Sirens flashed. For some monkey in a suit, that was the "Oh shit" moment where we reached the No-Fly List Threshold. State of Ohio" has no right to exist.

The majority rules. So what if most people are kind of ... stupid? Shit.

CONTRACTS, PART IV

When we draw up the charter for a corporation, we give it powers and properties. If you and I and 33 of our closest friends want to incorporate, we can describe this corporation as having all of the powers that each of us can give it.

A corporation is, after all, just as association of persons.

I can give our corporation the power to utilize my personally owned car.

You can give the corporation power to use your personal bank accounts.

One of our friends can give the corporation power to use his computer.

But we can't give our corporation power over Bob's Lanes. We don't have the authority to do that because neither us nor our friends own Bob's Lanes. We can't give our corporation power we ourselves don't possess.

So where do 35 white guys from 1803 get the power to create a corporation and give it the authority to boss around millions of people for centuries who never voted on this thing? Those 35 white guys didn't have the power to boss around millions in the first place, no more than you and I have power over Bob's Lanes, so how can the Worthington Gang give power to their corporation that they themselves don't have?

Somehow, Worthington got the crazy notion that he could convey to his corporation the power to rule millions, even though he couldn't rule millions himself. He could no more create a corporation with those powers than he could create a unicorn.

Faerie dust. Magical moon-beams. Fantastical thinking.

By the way, thanks for the pizza.

DEMOCRACY, PART V

Worthington's contract, The Ohio Constitution, limited voting rights only to white men who paid taxes and helped maintain the roads. No women, no Blacks, no Native Americans -- even though they really owned Ohio -- and no poor whites who couldn't afford taxes or assistance with roads.

Majority rules. Fair is fair.

So let's work through an exercise here. We start off with 100% of the Ohio population in 1803. When we exclude women, we're down to just about 49% of the population. Then we narrow it down by ethnicity. Only whites could vote, and we know that ten thousand were streaming into Ohio each year, invading as early as 1763 when King George III issued an order to stop settlement beyond the Alleghenies. But Ohio was also a free state so that means freed Blacks would flock there, and we have to think there was still a sizeable Native American population, sizeable enough to exclude them from voting. So let's guess that maybe when we exclude Blacks and Native Americans, we're now down to, say, 40% of the population of Ohio.

Okay. Of this 40%, how many are voting age? Usually, at least half the population is under 18 years old. So that takes us down to 20% of the population of Ohio

voting in 1803.

But, we're not done. We have to also exclude poor whites who can't afford taxes or who can't help with roads, or who simply can't prove they pay taxes or help with roads because they live in a vast wilderness. Would even one-third of the adult, white male population of 1803 Ohio be able to demonstrate that they paid taxes and maintained roads, and then get to the ballot box?

We're down to 7% of the population voting. Rich white men. And if the vote is nearly evenly split. that means you have roughly 3.5% of the population making the decisions for everyone, whether they like

it or not.

Democracy? Majority rules? Fair is fair?

writing to recognize and respect those rights.

That contract was designed to protect us and our rights from the government. It was designed to keep the government from ever violating our rights.

It's in the contract on her reported to the state

A contract is a contract.

A deal is a deal, and believed or adoption a granting

But who do we go to in order to present a case when we feel our rights have been violated? The government.

So. look. We have this contract with the "State of Ohio" that we never signed, but the "State of Ohio" enforces it on us anyway. And that contract describes the rights that this "State of Ohio" must recognize and protect. But who has the unilateral and exclusive right to interpret that contract? The "State of Ohio."

Imagine this. Imagine you had a deal with the bully who takes everyone's lunch money. You had it on paper. It says explicitly what the bully cannot do to you.

But you don't get to interpret what the contract means. The bully does. He gets the unilateral and exclusive authority to interpret what the contract actually means, the same that have been seen as the same that the same t

So what good is that piece of paper?

The same way, what good is this contract imposed on you by a dead corporation if this dead corporation has the unilateral and exclusive authority reserved to itself to interpret what the contract means?

So what are rights?

Whatever the "State of Ohio" says they are. A ANN TO THE HOUSE WITH A BUILDING TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY

Leon of the Magazine * His 1981 of the TOUR LABOURIERYON BESTERNANT THE OUT OF THE

IMPLIED CONSENT, PART V

and the section of the first the section of the sec The fourth argument for implied consent is the jurisdiction argument. According to this argument, you have entered the area where the "State of Ohio" claims to have authority, so by entering its jurisdictional space. you have implied your consent to be ruled by

This is a kind of "turf" argument.

But why is it when I enter an area claimed by the "State of Ohio," that we assume the "State of Ohio" has authority over me, rather than me having authority

choice. The "State of Ohio" has taken from us enough resources to build those roads and schools - resources that you and I may have otherwise used to build roads and schools to our own liking and not to the government's -- and in the absence of those resources. we are now forced to use the government's road and school monopolies. The same goes for every government program that exists.

Right now, at Mansfield Correctional, I wear state clothes. I sleep in a state dwelling. I eat state food.

I don't consent to this. I would prefer not to be the "beneficiary" of this state "program," but I don't have a choice; there is a shotgun pointed at my head.

Availing ourselves of programs and benefits offered by the "State of Ohio" when we have no other real option doesn't imply our consent to be ruled by this dead corporation. Coleman as may bown to Sedamer to fill with re there's distant propon of a court of waveres but

. Par es Rights, Part ve la la late a contractos the property of the type the communication of the control of the c

and the second of the second o

The No. (Motion decimals and accommod a from some)

What do rights mean? The measure angular well respects ariginal

Again, I'm not being funny, but I don't know what they are.

By the conception of the famous dead guys who wrote the documents like the Declaration of Independence and the Bill of Rights, our rights are our possession and they can never be taken from us, just like our "minds" or our "souls" or our "hearts." By their idea, our rights don't come from governments, but from the Creator. That means our rights existed before the governments did. That means governments don't create rights, but governments are simply institutions (corporations) that either recognize rights or they don't. The same as a same outgood weeks satisfied

But whether or not a government recognizes our rights or they don't, we still have them. They are DCOCK THE FORTH MARK TOWARD WAS APPROPRIES OF THE LABOUR.

By this idea, those famous dead guys wrote up the U.S. Constitution, a contract, and the contract listed all of the rights that the government has a duty to recognize. The government then has an obligation in

CONTRACTS, PART V

All law is contracts.

In every case before every court, the issue is whether one party owes a duty to another party, and whether that duty has been performed. It doesn't matter if it's civil law or criminal law or domestic court law.

Every legal scenario is based upon contracts. Everything else is window dressing.

WITHOUT CONSENT, PART II

This "State of Ohio" assumes it has a claim to our obedience. It assumes our consent to be ruled by it, the same way I assume you want to pay for my pizza.

Why? because 35 white guys in 1803 thought this was

a fantastic idea.

I could be wrong, but I think that's far from conclusive.

IMPLIED CONSENT, PART I

People sometimes make arguments based on "implied consent" to say that we are subjects of this corporation that the Worthington Gang concocted. Implied consent is where I assume I have a right to keep ordering pizzas at your expense because I did it once and, for whatever reason, you didn't catch me and make me stop, so that means you must like paying for my pizza.

By the theory of implied consent, if you don't actively oppose something, that means you're for it. The argument of implied consent says, "Your actions say you accept the authority of a corporation founded by 35 idiots in 1803, so this corporation has the authority to command you and to punish you if you disobey, even though you really never agreed to be its

subject in the first place."

I'm entitled to free pizza forever, at your expense.

DEMOCRACY, PART VI

I don't know the muts and bolts of how the Ohio Constitution was ratified. It doesn't really matter. It might be that only 7% of the population had the chance to vote on it, and if the vote was close, that means roughly 3.5% of the population of Ohio in 1803 decided the fate of everybody, and decided the fate of future generations who didn't get the chance to vote at all.

It might be there wasn't even a vote. It might be that Worthington and his pals got falling-down drunk and sacrificed a couple of chickens at the Masonic Lodge and then ran around naked in the moonlight, performing some kind of official ritual that ratified the Ohio Constitution. Who knows?

However it happened, Worthington and his buddies signed up billions of strangers to be slaves to a powerful corporation.

I'm ordering another pizza on your tab.

I'm sure you won't mind.

CONTRACTS, PART V

* * *

If we have a contract, you can take me to court when I refuse to fix your roof. When we get to court, you have to establish that we had a contract and that you met your end. You then demonstrate that I have a duty to fix your roof.

A contract is a contract.

A deal is a deal.

In my own experience, the "State of Ohio" took me to court. It said I violated its "peace and dignity." It

said I disobeyed its laws.

If I ever signed a contract promising to uphold the peace and dignity of this "State of Chio," and if I ever signed a contract accepting the authority of this "State of Ohio" as my government, and accepting my

people. Almost all of them have semi-automatic weapons that are properly registered and they feel very safe in their rights. You have one guy who has an Apache attack helicopter.

How many of these people really have any rights?
Yup. You're catching on.

तिकारि प्रश्निक अस्ति । प्रतिकारिक स्थापिक विकास । स्थापिक विकास । इस्तिक स्थापिक स्थापिक । स्थापिक स्थापिक स्थापिक । स्थापिक स्थापिक स्थापिक ।

odeda ozaski i tamujućeno i islaj klasti ni ikali sveta i odeja de i po**DENOCRACY, PART X**aj sloveja i iskujuka

There are two methods to make up the majority.

First, you can have more numbers than your opposition.

Second, you can <u>disqualify</u> enough of your opposition's numbers so that your numbers exceed the opposition who is actually allowed to participate.

The first method involves persuasion and a respect for everyone's rights. The second method involves force and a respect for nothing but naked power.

By the first method, at least 51% make the decisions. By the second method, as few as 7% participate in the decision-making, and half of them might choose the final course of action.

Welcome to Ohio. The Heart of It All.

have the share thing in a west from the selection of the control o

A third argument for implied consent is that we avail ourselves to programs and benefits provided by the "State of Chio," and we therefore consent to be ruled by it. By accepting programs and benefits from the government, your actions say you approve of the ruler-subject relationship.

But Imagine this. Imagine I rob you and leave you broke and you can't feed your family. With all the wealth I have, I donate bread to the destitute and you accept it. Does that mean you approve of my actions? When you accept the bread out of desperation and need, does that mean you consent to being robbed?

We certainly use state roads and state schools and a whole host of other services. But we really have no

We're pretty safe on the international front.

And ever since the Battle of Toledo, it would appear that Ohio and Michigan have buried the hatchet and we face no threat of a hostile invasion from neighboring states. There's been a long period of relative peace between Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Pennsylvania, Kentucky, and West Virginia.

Why do we have the Ohio National Guard?

News flash: The Ohio National Guard is there to protect the "State of Ohio" from us. The Ohio National Guard exists to prevent us from ever exercising this right promised to us that we can "abolish" the government.

Consider the gravity of this. A dead corporation enforces a contract on you that you never signed. It refuses to meet the terms of the contract itself. This dead corporation then takes your money from you so it can build a military force designed to neutralize you if you ever forget your place.

You paid for those rifles.

You paid for those bullets.

You paid for that Apache attack helicopter.

You have a right to abolish the government. But I don't think you can hold up that contract to stop those bullets. It didn't stop the bullets that killed those 4 students at Kent State, and they weren't doing anything nearly as ambitious as trying to abolish the government.

control of the measurable of the distribution of the first section of the section

Company of the entitle self my beinger

A quick scenario. You have a hundred people on a tropical island. Five of them have automatic weapons with hundreds of rounds of ammunition.

How many of those people have rights?

Correct answer: Five.

RIGHTS, PART IV

Another quick scenario: You still have a hundred

my role as its subject, then the "State of Ohio" might be able to argue a breach of contract.

All law is contracts.

The problem is, apart from the question of whether or not I actually did anything wrong, I never agreed to maintain the "peace and dignity" of this "State of Ohio" in the first place. So when the "State of Ohio" accuses me of violating its peace and dignity, it must show that I accepted a duty to maintain its peace and dignity, just as you must show that I agreed to fix your roof. Without any such promise, there's no duty to be performed.

This "State of Ohio" could no more take me to court for disobeying its laws than we can take the patrons of Bob's Lames to court for refusing to follow our orders.

A contract is a contract.

A deal is a deal.

But the <u>absence</u> of a contract is the <u>absence</u> of a contract.

I signed no contract.

I agreed to abide by no laws.

I accepted no duty to uphold the peace and dignity of this "State of Ohio." I don't even know that it has any.

I breached no contract.

I failed to perform no duty to which I consented.

- Till Andrew Company (1995年)。 - Till Andrew Company (1995年)。 - Till Andrew Company (1995年)。

The "State of Ohio" can fuck off.

THE WAS A COLD DEMOCRACY. PART VII . THE HOLD OF A SECOND COLD

CONSTRAIN SERVICE TO LEAGUE OF THE CONTRACT TOP A CONTRACT

Imagine this: You're locked in a cement cell with 99 other people. The steel door opens and two hulking, slobbering sexual predators stalk into the room. A voice comes over the speaker to calmly explain that one of these sexual predators is going to butt-rape everyone in the room. There's nothing you can do about it. But, the good news is, 7 of you will have the opportunity to vote on which of the sexual predators will cram his package into everyone's mailboxes.

You're one of the voters. One of the lucky few. You vote for the shorter, skinnier predator with small

tent several fine of the keyestes Tobak To said?"

Rese, I elegand by the Totak Totak to empath with

hands in the hopes that he's not quite as well-hung, and therefore the butt-rape won't hurt quite as bad. But, it turns out when the votes are tallied, that 4 of the 7 voters voted for the larger, sweatier, stockier sexual predator with huge hands and big, hairy knuckles.

That's democracy.

Majority rules. If the majority wants it, we all get

it. Nothing you can do.

Somebody is going to stick it to you no matter who you vote for— and even if you don't vote at all.

* * *

DEMOCRACY, PART VIII

Notice, everyone runs to get elected leaders, but nobody runs to be elected followers. Everyone runs to be elected to positions where they are in charge, but nobody runs to be elected subjects.

Nobody is ever enthusiastic enough about getting bossed around and exploited to get elected as a

subject.

That's because being a subject sucks.

* * *

IMPLIED CONSENT, PART II

One of the arguments for "implied consent" is, "You pay taxes and therefore you have implied your consent to be ruled by the State." Your actions say you

approve of the ruler-subject relationship.

But I don't think that's true. Back before the fascists dragged me away, when I worked for a living, the taxes were taken out of my check before I ever got paid. I think the same goes for most people. I never willingly paid taxes or had the chance to withhold tax payments. These days, you can't pull what Henry David Thoreau pulled and refuse to pay your taxes because the taxes are collected before you ever see your money.

Paying taxes is no sign of consent for anything. In fact, the way the government collects the taxes

no way implies that you accept the authority of this "State of Ohio." In voting, you aren't choosing to either be under the control of the government or to be free of its control; you are only choosing which way the government will impose on you. To refer back to the analogy, you don't choose whether to be raped or not raped, you only choose which rapist will sexually assault you.

That s not consent. Listed to the art thereis

Until they offer the option of "None of the Above" when you're voting, the act of voting implies consent for nothing.

inter", Kendi Joka **Richts** (S**part II**em held thek lotterek b Til et mæn kræði versæmingshænden til sæds lotte allok e

di chemita terrep per terri mon la finataci e spota

Horistone 利格 かわめい 🛒 🧸 🏚 🛊 ter - さんかき - Addi

Here's the second promise the "State of Ohio" made in its dead contract that you never signed, the one it imposes upon us without our consent:

Article I, Section 2. All political power is inherent in the people. Government is instituted for their equal protection and benefit, and they have the right to alter, reform, or abolish the same, whenever they may deem it necessary; and no special privileges or immunities shall ever be granted, that may not be altered, revoked, or repealed by the General Assembly.

We have the right to abolish the government. We have the right to abolish this "State of Ohio." It's in the contract. And if we exercise that right, this "State of Ohio" will simply stand by, silent and passive.

Yeah Okav

Mao Ze Dong said that all political power flows from the barrel of a gun. However you or I feel about that, and whether or not we agree, it would appear that this "State of Ohio" believes Mao. It would appear that this "State of Ohio" ascribes to the barrel-of-a-gun theory.

Why else do we have the Ohio National Guard?

Think about it: we have the federal military to protect us from any invasion by Portugal or Italy.

born in 1804 were never consulted. So. if the 1803 is generation died off without the contract being renewed, the corporation called "The State of Ohio" would die with them.

The contract was renewed in 1853. Or so it seems. Another generation re-crafted the Ohio Constitution. But even if we accept that in 1853 every single person in Ohio wanted this corporation to rule them, and they all consented to this contract, they're all dead now.

Their contract died with them.

It hasn't been renewed.

None of us have signed it.
This "State of Ohio," if it was ever legally incorporated in the first place, is dead. It is as dead as the generation from 1853. This dead corporation called "The State of Ohio" has no contractual relationship to us.

What duty do we owe it?

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR IMPLIED CONSENT, PART III

Another argument for "implied consent" is that we vote. This argument says, "If we vote, we're showing by our actions that we have implied our consent to be ruled by the State." Your actions say you approve of the subject-ruler relationship.

But before we even go there, let's ask the question. What happens if we don't vote? If we don't vote, do we get an "opt-out card," so whenever the police pull us over, we show them that the laws do not apply to us? Whenever we purchase goods, we can show the cashier our "opt-out card" and we won't have to pay any sales tax?

I think not.

So, whether we vote or we don't vote, the "State of Ohio" is bending us over and slamming it to us.

That means that whether or not you imply consent by voting, the "State of Ohio" is going to assume authority anyway.

And that means the argument for implied consent is

really irrelevant.

Still, having addressed that, the act of voting in

demonstrates that the government itself suspects that if we were left to our own devices, we'd probably prefer to not give the government any money. The government knows it has to take its money off the top or it would never see a dime. The government knows we wouldn't consent to give up our money in order to maintain this system as it currently operates, doing things against our approval.

And really, when you've got a government that taxes you in advance because it knows you disapprove of the way they spend the money, isn't that a better argument for overthrowing the government than it is for implied

consent?

CONTRACTS, PART VI

A contract is a contract.

A deal is a deal.

Contracts are supposed to be binding. If I breach our contract, you can take me to court and if you prove that I agreed to fix your roof, and you prove that you fulfilled your end of the contract by paying me \$500, then you've made your case that I owe you a duty that I haven't performed -- namely, fixing your roof.

But in the case of the Ohio Constitution, none of us have signed it. We haven't agreed to be the subjects of this incorporated "State of Ohio." We have no contractual relationship. To make the analogy, we never agreed to fix the roof for the "State of Ohio."

But to make matters worse, before this "State of Ohio" could enforce anything on us, it would have to demonstrate that it has made its end of the bargain. Remember, before you can take action against me. you have to prove I agreed to fix your roof and you also have to prove you met your end of the deal by paying me \$500. So, if the "State of Ohio" is going to enforce the contract, the Ohio Constitution, even though we never signed it, this "State of Ohio" has to prove it has met its end of the deal. That means it has to show that it has provided us all the protections and services it has promised us in the

Ohio Constitution.
This "State of Ohio" has a lot of promises it must prove it has kept before it can ever take any of us to court for anything, and so is a second diameters.

Here's the first one:

Article I, Section 1. All men are, by nature, free and independent, and have certain inaliemable rights, among which are these of enjoying and defending life and liberty; acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and seeking and obtaining happiness and safety.

If I am free and independent, then why can a corporation impose itself upon me without its consent and force me to be its subject whether I like it or not? And as far as having the right to defend my life and liberty, that's the very thing that I did that this "State of Ohio" used as a cause to take me to court.

I never breached any contract with the "State of Ohio" because I never signed it, but if I had signed it, the "State of Ohio" would be breaching it by taking me to court for doing what the Ohio Constitution said I had a right to do.

I think my case is a perfect example that we don't have the right to defend lives and liberty, and that the "State of Ohio," despite its promises, doesn't protect our rights to defend our lives and liberty.

The "State of Ohio" has breached the contract it

enforces against us.
I think this "State of Ohio" is a liar.

RIGHTS, PART I
Maybe I'm wrong. Go ahead and live as if you're free and independent. Set up your dwelling where you want, perhaps in a beautiful clearing in the woods the way Henry David Thoreau did it at Walden Pond.

Build a fire. Eat some berries off the bushes, and apples off the trees. Kill and skin a deer and eat it.

When the cops come to arrest you for trespassing, or for building the fire, or for killing a deer out of season, explain to them that you are free and indepen-

dent. Explain that you have the right to seek and obtain happiness, and that this way of living makes youthappy a first on the area of the appearance and the youthappy and the same of the same

When the cops draw their weapons, tell them that you have the right to defend your life and a right to safety, and that their guns in your face don't make you feel very safe.

Now, you might not feel that I'm creating a fair example here, that there are certain reasonable limits on our rights to live free and independent. I would disagree. If there are limits on your rights to be free and independent, then you are not allowed to be free and independent; you are allowed to be limited. And if you're limited, there's someone or something who reserves the right to limit you, to decide what it is to be reasonably free and independent.

That entity reserving the right to limit your rights to live and free and independent is called, "The State

of Ohio."

We can only be safe and be free and independent in the absence of this "State of Ohio."

THE SECOND SECOND OF DEMOCRACY, PART IX SECONDS ASSET ASSET

to fail of Temerak ballon," for the or or select

96 of duration for harbers when we had accepted on vi

MONEY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P

Majority rules. The residence to the second second second

But does 7% make up the majority?

What do you call a system where the 7% make the decisions for the other 93% and impose their system on everyone? antiog set rusamets of least two-too be for

I call it bullshit. Come and and make we have and ROLATERS ON MARKET THE CONTROL OF THE SECTION OF TH

CONTRACTS, PART VII . JOST DE LE SELECTION DE LA SELECTION DE

Even if the contract was valid in 1803, even if everyone in the territory of Ohio signed it and agreed to its terms and wanted this corporation called "The State of Ohio" to be their ruler, the contract would still have to be renewed.

The people in 1803 agreed to the contractual relationship with this corporation, but the people